

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/781,191		02/18/2004	Floyd Backes	160-022	1762		
34845	7590 03/28/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER		
STEUBING MCGUINNESS & MANARAS LLP 125 NAGOG PARK			BEAMER, 1	EMICA M			
ACTON, M	-)		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2617			

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	* · · · ·	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/781,191	BACKES ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Temica M. Beamer	2681
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/5/2	<u>006</u> .	
20/22	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.		, zav
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	1. () !	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
 Certified copies of the priority document 		
Certified copies of the priority document		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ved in this National Stage
application from the International Burea		and .
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail 🛭	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 1/5/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Almgren, taken alone and/or in combination with Dent fails to disclose an access point setting its own power level based on nearby fixed location devices using the same channel operating on the same channel.

However, the above limitations are not claimed. The invention as presently claimed only requires that logic detects that one or more access points (i.e., a second fixed-location) are using the same radio frequency and making adjustments to the transmit power to decrease interference. Almgren discloses that base stations are determined to be using the same channel as evidenced by interference in call connections (col. 1, lines 13-22). Almgren further discloses regulating power in order to reduce the interference (col. 4, lines 21-39).

Therefore, Almgren, taken alone and/or in combination with Dent meets the limitation requirements as presently claimed and the rejection stands as set forth below.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent

Art Unit: 2681

and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-5 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of copending Application No. 10/781,137. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both inventions are drawn to a program product comprising logic for detecting a device also using the same radio frequency channel and logic for detecting adjusting transmit power.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 2681

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Almgren et al (Almgren), U.S. Patent No. 5,574,982.

Regarding claim 1, Almgren discloses a program product for use in first fixed-location device capable of communicating in a wireless communications environment via a radio frequency channel, the program product comprising a computer readable medium having embodied therein a computer program for storing data, the computer program comprising: logic for detecting that a second fixed-location device is also using the radio frequency channel; logic for adjusting transmit power to decrease interference with the second fixed-location device (col. 1, lines 13-22)

Regarding claim 2, Almgren discloses the program product of claim 1 wherein the logic for adjusting transmit power does so in response to a message received from the second fixed-location, the message indicating the transmitted power level of the second fixed-location (col. 4, lines 24-39).

Regarding claim 3, Almgren discloses a program product for use in a first fixed-location device capable of communicating in a wireless communications environment via a radio frequency channel, the program product comprising a computer readable

Art Unit: 2681

medium having embodied therein a computer program for storing data, the computer program comprising: logic for detecting that at a second fixed-location device is also using the radio frequency channel; logic for adjusting transmit power in response to a message received from the second fixed-location device, the message indicating the transmitted power level of the second fixed-location device (col. 1, lines 13-22, col. 4, lines 24-39).

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Temica M. Beamer whose telephone number is (571)

Application/Control Number: 10/781,191 Page 6

Art Unit: 2681

272-7797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (alternate Fridays) 7:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tmb

TEMICA BEAMER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

PTO/SB/08A (10-01)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002, OMB 0851-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCS
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of intermation unless it displays a valid OMB

Substitute for form 1449A/PTO		Complet	Complete if Known		
		Application Number	10/781,191		
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (use as many sheets as necessary)				02/18/2004	
			First Named Inventor	Beckes	
			Art Unit		
			Examiner Name	Unknown	
		1	Attorney Docket Number	160-022	
Sheet	1	of			

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS						
Examiner	Cite	Document Number	Publication Date	Name of Palemes or Applicant of Cited Document:	Pages, Columns, Units, Where Relevant Possages or Rationan Figures Appear	
Inhints' No.	No.	Number - Kind Code ² (# known)				
T 1813		US-6,795,407	09/21/2004	Chesson		
TW.		US-8,870,815	03/22/2005	McFaffand et al.		
10-20-	1	US-				
	1	US-				
	1	US-				
	T	ŲS-				
		US-				
		US-	0			
		US-				
		US-				
		u s -	<u> </u>			
	_	US-				
	1	us-				
		ŲS-	<u> </u>			
		US-	<u> </u>			
		US-				
		US-				
-		us-				
		US-				
		US-				

		FOREIG	PATENT DOC	JMENTS		~
Examiner	Cno	Foreign Patent Document	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patenties or Applicant of Clied Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Railward Possages or Relevant Figures Appear	
Histor"	No.1	Country Codo ² - Number - Kind Code ⁵ (f known)				┙
	 					_
						_
						-
						-
						_
	<u> </u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_
	1					_
	-					
_	Ц.					-
camin	er	Denica M Blan	10	Date Considered	3/20/06	

"EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered, include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant's unique citation designation number (options). "Soe kinds Codes of USPTO Petent Documents at www.usofo.cov or MPEP 901.04.

Applicant's unique citation designation number (options). "Soe kinds Codes of USPTO Petent Documents at www.usofo.cov or MPEP 901.04.

Applicant's unique citation designation number (options). "Soe kinds Codes of USPTO Petent Documents at www.usofo.cov or MPEP 901.04.

Applicant is unique citation designation number (options). "Soe kinds Codes of USPTO Petent Documents at www.usofo.cov or MPEP 901.04.

Applicant is unique citation designation in the year of "Senter of Document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the the reign of the Emperor must precede the sental number of the patient of document where it English tanguage Translation is attached. document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. "Applicant is to place a check mark here it English tanguage Translation is attached. document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. "Applicant is to place a check mark here it English tanguage Translation is attached. document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. "Applicant is to place a check mark here it English tanguage Translation is attached. Any Burden Hour Statement. This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any Burden Hour Statement. This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any depending upon the needs of the individual case.

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

BPR 0 7 2006

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER